



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	-	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,329	0	4/17/2001	Makoto Sato		862.C2201	5316	
5514	7590	07/05/2005		[	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA					PATEL, KANJIBHAI B		
NEW YORK, NY 10112				[	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,					2625		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/835,329	SATO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kanji Patel	2625					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication  Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addre	ss				
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the period by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of third beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this common BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status			,					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 April 2005</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
•								
Dispositio	on of Claims		•					
5) \(\times\) = 6) \(\times\) = 7) \(\times\)	Claim(s) <u>9-10,13-21</u> is/are objected to.							
Application	on Papers							
ר 🖂 (10	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority III	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ <i>A</i> a)∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a common of the	a list of the certified copies not	received.					
/	:							
Attachment(	•							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 ·	2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/835,329

Art Unit: 2625

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on April 11 2005 has been entered and made of record.

In response to applicant's amendment to claims 36-37 and cancellation of claims 38-39, the rejection under 101 has been withdrawn.

The indicated allowability of claims 1-30 and 32-35 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Li et al. (US 6,580,834 B2). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8, 11-12, 22, 32, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Li et al. (hereinafter referred to as Li) (US 6,580,834 B2) and Kennedy et al. (hereinafter referred to as Kennedy) (US 6,512,853 B2).

For claim 1, Li discloses an image processing system for encoding and decoding an image (figure 1), comprising:

an encoding device (at least 135 in figure 1 is a encoding device) for encoding the image in units of bit planes (figure 13; at least column 10, lines 17-27) to generate a code sequence; and

a decoding device (at least 185 in figure 1 is a decoding device) for decoding the code sequence in units of bit planes (figure 16; column 11 line 65 to column 12 line 9) to generate the image,

Li does not clearly disclose that noise on the image is removed by deleting data of bit planes of levels lower than a lower-limit bit plane in said encoding device and/or said decoding device. However, in an analogous art, Kennedy discloses a method and apparatus for compressing digital image data comprising noise on the image is removed by deleting data of bit planes of levels lower than a lower-limit bit plane in said encoding device and/or said decoding device (column 11, lines 33-55) Keneddy explains that the bit plane entropy statistics can be used to determine the number of least significant bits (two least significant bits in this case providing lower limit bit plane) to be separated from each pixel to reduce noise to an optimum level. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the

Art Unit: 2625

Li. Reference by including the teaching of Kennedy because such a modification will provide if noise is present, the noise will be separated from each pixel, and this is achieved by separating the least significant bit or bits from the grayscale value of the pixels and the separated noise bits are stored uncompressed so that they can be replaced during decompression of the image as mentioned by Kennedy at column 9 line 64 to column 10 line 3.

For claim 2, Kennedy discloses the system wherein the lower-limit bit plane is determined on the basis of information that pertains to the image sensing situation (column 10, lines 36-50).

For claim 3, Kennedy discloses the system wherein the image to be encoded by said encoding device is an X-ray image, and the information that pertains to an image sensing situation is an X-ray dosage (column 10, lines 36-50).

**For claim 4,** Li discloses the system wherein said encoding device performs discrete wavelet transformation (figures 6-10).

For claim 5, Kennedy discloses the system wherein said decoding device deletes data of bit planes of levels lower than the lower-limit bit plane, of bit planes which belong to a predetermined subband (column 9 line 64 to column 10 line 3).

For column 6, Li discloses the system wherein the predetermined subband is a subband other than the lowest-frequency subband (figures 6A, 7A, 9A).

For claim 7, Kennedy discloses the system wherein a bit plane decoding process is aborted at the lower-limit bit plane, and bits of transform coefficients

Application/Control Number: 09/835,329

Art Unit: 2625

contained in all subsequent bit planes up to a least significant bit plane are set at zero (column 11, lines 33-55).

For claim 8, Li discloses the system wherein said encoding device generates the code sequence by decomposing transform coefficients generated by computing discrete wavelet transforms of the image into bit planes corresponding in number to the transform coefficients, and encoding in units of bit planes (figure 13).

For claim 11, Kennedy discloses the system further comprising an input device for sensing and inputting an image to be encoded by said encoding device (figure 2, step 1).

For claim 12, Li discloses the system further comprising an image display device for displaying the image decoded by said decoding device (element 176 in figure 1).

For claim 22, Li discloses the system wherein said encoding device generates the code sequence by forming layers using only bit planes not less than the lower-limit bit plane, and combining the layers (figure 13).

For claims 32, 34 and 36 see the rejection of claim 1 above.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9-10 and 13-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23-30, 33, 35 and 37 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,329

Art Unit: 2625

## **Contact Information**

Page 6

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kanji Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305-4011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kanji Patel AU 2625 June 26, 2005

KANJIBHAI PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER